

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,484	11/14/2003	Marc Schlosser	SCHMPA103	9208
7590 06/01/2006		EXAMINER		
Robert M. Downey ROBERT M. DOWNEY, P.A. Suite 300 601 South Federal Highway Boca Raton, FL 33432			TON, ANABEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{M}$	_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
<b></b>	10/713,484	SCHLOSSER, MARC	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anabel M. Ton	2875	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	16 March 2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex par</i> te Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 21-28 and 32-37 is/are pending	in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>21,22,25-27,32 and 34-37</u> is/ard	e rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) <u>23,24,28,33</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority doc			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ol>	•	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International		t and the state of	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· ——	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "26" has been used to designate both backlight and inner side of the top cover. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 28 recites the top side of at least one cover panel being structured and disposed for placement of a sheet substrate, a light emitting source for directing light onto said sheet substrate; said light emitting device including a backlight device on said top side of said cover panel for directly light outwardly therefrom and through the sheet substrate placed thereon, the claim continues with an electronic calculator on said cover panel. As seen if figure 1, the only light source directing light *onto* the sheet substrate 24 is light source

Art Unit: 2875

44, conflicting with what is recited in claim 1. The substrate in figure 1 is located on the bottom cover panel as well as is the backlight device 26, which conflicts with what is recited in claim 1. Light source 44, does not include backlight 26, both appear to be separate light sources as seen in figure 1. The calculator appears on the top side of the top cover panel (12), yet applicant recites both the backlight on the top of the cover panel and the calculator on the cover panel, which conflicts with what is shown in figure 1 since the backlit device is on the bottom cover panel (14). Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21,22,25-27,32,34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madsen (2004/0059689) and further in view of Gallani (5,471,347) and in further view of Dempsey et al (6,796,673).
- 3. Masden discloses the claimed invention except for the recitation of a magnifying sheet and a signaling device.
- 4. Masden discloses a check presentation system with a bill folder (102), a tip calculator (160) and a light source (150) on the topside of the cover panel that is backlit

Art Unit: 2875

for projecting light outwardly and inside of the bill folder. Gallani discloses a lens magnification system for booklets such as restaurant menus for magnifying printed matter within the booklet (figs 7-8). Dempsey discloses a restaurant billfold with at least one signaling lamp for emitting a distinct light to alert when a bill a ready to be paid. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Gallani's lens magnification system in the device of Madsen since Madsen teaches of the advantages of having a magnification system in booklets particularly for magnifying printed matter that is difficult to read in low light settings. Such a modification to the device of Masden would be purposeful providing Masden with an additional practical feature that would aid a user in magnifying printed matter provided on a restaurant bill. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Masden in further view of Gallani with the signaling device of Dempsey to provide the device of Masden modified by Gallani with a signaling device that would provide a restaurant patron a means for alerting sever that the bill is ready to be paid, as taught by Dempsey. Such a modification to the device of Masden in further view of Gallani would be purposeful for greatly enhancing the practicality and usefulness of a bill folder.

5. With regards to the light-emitting source directing light onto a sheet substrate, Masden is considered to satisfy this limitation since bill pocket 140 is considered to be a sheet substrate. Bill pockets such as the one taught by Masden are commonly made from transparent plastic as taught by Dempsey as well.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,484 Page 5

Art Unit: 2875

6. With regards to the sheet substrate placed on the light source, such a modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since such a practice for the purpose of backlighting a sheet of printed matter is old and well known in the art (see cited prior art Lane).

- The transparent sheet of Gallani is movable in relation to an inner face of a bottom cover and a sheet with printed matter thereon.
- A plurality of signal lamps are structured and disposed to emit a steady light
  when actuated, the plurality of signaling lamps are structured and disposed to
  emit an intermittent flashing light when actuated, each of the plurality signaling
  lamps are individually actuated; the plurality of signaling lamps are of multiple
  colors (Dempsey, col. 2 lines 40-49, 64-67);

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 23,24,33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claim 28 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections, set forth in this Office action. If applicant clarifies the location of the respective light sources with respect to the cover panels, claim 28 would be allowable.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 03/16/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the light source of Madsen "is structured" to throw light directionally on the tip calculator, thus not intending to direct light onto the sheet

Application/Control Number: 10/713,484 Page 6

Art Unit: 2875

substrate containing the patrons bill. The examiner disagrees for the following reasons: To begin. Madsen discloses the light "preferably a light emitting diode (LED) that throws a light directionally on the tip calculator" applicant has phrased this limitation as Madsen being "structured" to throw light directionally on the tip calculator, which would suggest that the light source of Madsen includes additional structure that is not simply a light source, preferably an LED. Although Madsen is intending the light source to direct light onto the calculator, since Madsen does not specifically state that the light emitted towards the calculator is total and complete with no leakage outwardly towards the rest of the bill folder, Madsen is considered to inherently illuminate the rest of the bill folder. albeit not directly, including the substrate as defined above. Furthermore, applicant does not positively recite that the light-emitting device illuminates the sheet substrate, but recites that the light-emitting device is "for" illuminating the substrate, which is a matter of the intended use of the light source. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In this case, the light source of Masden is considered to be capably of performing such an intended use, as recited above.

#### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/713,484 Page 7

Art Unit: 2875

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M. Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

**AMT** 

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800